

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/807,999	03/24/2004	Mark H. Falahee	FLH-11702/29	4663	
26905 7590 044992099 GIFFORD, S, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C PO BOX 7021 TROY, MI 48007-7021			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: MARK H. FALAHEE

Application No. 10/807,999 Technology Center 3700

Mailed: April 8, 2009

Before ERIC W. HAWTHORNE, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist HAWTHORNE, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist.

## ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on February 17, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal.

Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

## AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

A review of the file indicates that on September 28, 2005 Appellant filed an Amendment After Final in response to the Final Rejection mailed July 25, 2005. It is not clear whether this Amendment has been considered because an Advisory Action has not been mailed. Clarification from the Examiner is required.

## **EXAMINER'S ANSWER, EVIDENCE RELIED UPON**

Section §1207.02 of the *Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) (Eighth Edition, Rev. 7, July 2008) states:

(A) CONTENT REQUIREMENTS FOR EXAMINER'S ANSWER. The examiner's answer is required to include, under appropriate headings, in the order indicated, the following items:

. . . .

(8) Evidence Relied Upon. A listing of the evidence relied on (e.g., patents, publications, admitted prior art), and in the case of nonpatent references, the relevant page or pages.

The Examiner's Answer mailed December 6, 2005 is deficient because the "Evidence Relied Upon" section fails to include the references Steffee (U.S. Patent No. 4,648,388), and Hunt (U.S. Patent 324,768) cited on pages 3 – 6 in the Examiner's grounds of rejection. Claims 6, 8, 9, and 11 – 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Steffe.

Application No. 10/807,999

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Steffee in view of Hunt.

Appropriate correction is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

(1) appropriately respond to the Amendment After Final;

(2) vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed December 6, 2005;

(3) provide a new Examiner's Answer including a correct "Status of

Amendment" section in addition to correction to other sections as required:

(4) to issue a PTO-90 citing the missing references listed under the

Evidence Relied Upon section, paragraph (8); and

(5) such further action as may be required.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

EWH/nhl

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C

PO BOX 7021

TROY MI 48007-7021

3